## **VILLAGE OF EVENDALE** 1 PLANNING COMMISSION 2 Minutes from the May 5, 2022 Special Meeting 3 Evendale Municipal Building, 10500 Reading Road 4 5 The special meeting of the Evendale Planning Commission (EPC) was called to order by 6 Chairperson Chris Patterson at 6:00pm. In attendance were EPC members Kevin 7 8 Gorsuch, Arnie Schaewe, Jannelle Moore, and Councilperson Beth McDaniel,. Supporting the EPC were Timothy Burke (Law Director), James Jeffers (Service Director), 9 Mark Elma (Building, Planning, & Zoning Manager), and David Elmer (Director of 10 Administrative Services). 11 12 Those present recited The Pledge of Allegiance to the United States of America. 13 14 15 **OLD BUSINESS:** 16 There was no old business to discuss. 17 18 19 **NEW BUSINESS:** 20 21 1. Case EDB22-3: Conditional Use Application in a Planned Business Development 22 Industrial District for a transient yard where loaded and empty containers are stored outside in conjunction with a truck terminal as a permitted use at 2521 Glendale Milford 23 Rd. (Parcel #611-020-000-01) Applicant: Robert Faircloth Company DBA Contractors 24 Materials Co. 25 26 27 Mr. Burke swore in all those who wished to speak and explained the quasijudication process and decision options for the Board. 28 29 30 Mr. Blankenship (attorney for the applicant) introduced herself and the clients she was representing. With Mrs. Blankenship was Mr. Robert Faircloth with 31 Contractors Materials Co., and Mr. Barry Bernard with Ohio International Services 32 (OIS). Mrs. Blankenship presented her opening statement discussing the 33 proposed use and leasing arrangement. 34 35 Mr. Elmer presented staff's PowerPoint discussing the history of the site and the 36 nature of the OIS' business and the condition of their locations in other 37 communities. 38 39 Mr. Patterson asked if the whole site would be leased in whole or part. 40 Faircloth stated approximately 6 acres of the 8.4 acre site would be leased to OIS 41 and Contractors Materials would use the remaining 2 acres. 42 43

Mr. Gorsuch asked Mr. Elmer about the economic development implications. Mr.

Elmer stated there were no project incentives in place at this time, and deferred

44

45

46

the question to Mr. Faircloth.

Mr. Elmer completed staff's presentation. Discussing the conversations staff had with the applicant regarding the use on the site.

Mr. Patterson asked Mr. Elmer to summarize the case and staff's recommendation. Mr. Elmer reviewed the PBD rules and stated staff's reason for asking for the conditional use application. Mr. Elmer continued reviewing staff's concerns which include stacking, volume and density of use on the site in regards to traffic, sensory nuisance, and the parking lot condition and spill over.

Mr. Patterson asked for clarification regarding staff's recommendation. Mr. Patterson stated it is his understanding staff's recommendation is for denial. Mr. Elmer confirmed.

Mr. Gorsuch asked follow up questions about staff's presentation. A sort discussion followed reviewing what was presented.

Mrs. Blankenship began her cross examination of Mr. Elmer. Mr. Blankenship asked why the Village did not purchase the site. Mr. Elmer stated the price was higher than agreed upon. Mrs. Blankenship asked if Mr. Elmer if he felt the purchase price of the property would go down is the zoning request was not approved. Mr. Elmer stated he did not have the answer to that questions. Mrs. Blankenship stepped down.

Mr. Fairchild discussed the history and operation of Contractors Material Co. stating he employed 67 team members. Mr. Fairchild explained he produced steal and wire (aka rebar) to reinforce concrete. Mr. Fairchild discussed his other facilities. Mr. Fairchild explained that he is interested in buying the Boyd site to expand their wire business. Mr. Fairchild continued stating they will need to lease the property to produce some income before they are ready to build their new building. Mr. Fairchild discussed the existing traffic conditions around his site.

Mr. Patterson asked Mr. Fairchild to discussion to the use of this site relative to a container port. Mr. Fairchild responded it was his understanding OIS would have approximately 70 trucks coming and going. Mr. Bernard discussed his company's operation and how he felt it fit into the existing zoning.

Mr. Schaewe asked if the Containers would be removed from the truck chasse to be stored on the ground. Mr. Bernard stated the containers would not be stored on the ground.

A short discussion followed regarding the difference between storing a container on the ground and storing a container on a chassis.

Mrs. Blankenship spoke regarding conditional use stating the conditional use is for outdoor storage not a container port. Mrs. Blankenship discussed how she felt her application meets the standards for outdoor storage. Mrs. Blankenship continued

challenging staff's interpretation of the conditional use. Mrs. Blankenship continued by stating a conditional use simply means adding conditions. Mrs. Blankenship stated her client is more than willing to have conditions added to the use. Stating trucks would never be grounded or stacked on this site.

Mr. Faircloth discussed his reasons for wanting to buy the property and his future plans to expand the property that including cleaning up the lot up and eventually he will want to build a big warehouse on the site that will provide jobs.

Mr. Patterson asked Mr. Faircloth to provide some details regarding how the lot will be split up. Mr. Faircloth stated he will most likely reserve the 2 acres closest to his building for CMC's use. Mr. Patterson followed up by asking what Mr. Faircloth was anticipating writing in to the lease regarding termination and OIS's desire to expand on the site. Mr. Faircloth's response that he intended on writing in a 6 month termination clause.

Mr. Burke remined the applicants to speak into the microphones so the discussion could be adequately recorded.

Mr. Bill Lukan introduced himself as the third-generation owner of the business. Mr. Lukan provided a brief history of the business and stated CMC's desire to expand the business. Mr. Lukan stated they have construction drawings for a 30,000 SF addition they wanted to build onto their facility in Walton Kentucky. Mr. Lukan continued by stating they would much rather have the expansion occur on this site.

Mr. Gorsuch asked if the truck traffic will go directly to I-75. Mr. Lukan stated they would and that the truck traffic would not drag dirt onto the main road.

Mrs. McDaniel asked about the hours of operation. The applicant's responded 7:00am to 6:00pm.

Mr. Elmer provided a brief summary of the desired uses on the Boyd Brothers BPD, the permitted uses and the Villages desire to encourage business growth.

Mr. Schaewe asked the applicant if we would be discussing outdoor storage if CMC didn't have to lease their land to OIS. Mr. Faircloth stated they might because they will require storage in relation to their manufacturing facility.

Mr. Patterson asked if they were planning on using the two acres for outdoor storage. Mr. Faircloth stated he plans on using the two acres to also park trailers and provide additional employee parking. Mr. Faircloth desired to create a path to allow his employees to walk to the existing building.

Mr. Patterson asked Mr. Burke if he had any questions for the applicant. Mr. Burke stated he does.

Mr. Burke asked the applicant if the conditional use is not approved will they buy the property. Mr. Faircloth stated that if the use is not approved they would have to renegotiate their deal with Mr. Boyd

Mr. Burke asked if they would be willing to accept conditions that containers cannot be stored on the ground, containers may not be stacked and chases may not be stored on the site. Mr. Faircloth stated that except for stacking chasses they are willing to accept the conditions.

Mr. Burke asked if the conditions will be written into the lease. Mr. Faircloth stated any conditions would be written into the lease.

Mr. Burke stated that the village is struggling to understand the volume of use on the site. Mr. Burke stated staff recommended providing a site plan prior to the meeting and that it was not provided. Mr. Faircloth stated it was relatively easy to provide.

Mr. Burke also asked if the applicant recalled being asked for a list of OIS sites that would be consolidated onto this site. Mr. Faircloth stated he discussed the number of sites that would be consolidated onto this site. Mr. Faircloth restated he could provide the drawings.

Mr. Burke asked about stacking of containers on other OIS sites. Mr. Faircloth restated no containers would be stacked on the site. Mr. Burke sked if CMC sees stacking on the site would they notify the Village of the violation. Mr. Faircloth stated yes.

Mr. Burke referenced page 8 of the meeting packet and asked if that was his signature and if he reviewed the language of the document. Mr. Faircloth stated he did review it but did not fully understand the language. A short discussion followed regarding the proposed operation of OIS and loading and unloading freight.

Mr. Burke asked Mr. Bernard about the operation of his business and if he offered outside storage on other sites. Mr. Bernard stated that was the nature of his business. A brief discussion followed regarding the difference between hauling and storing containers.

Mr. Burke asked Mr. Bernard about the length of time the containers would be stored on the site. Mr. Bernard stated 3-5 days, sometimes longer. A brief discussion followed regarding the factors that may cause a delay in movement of the containers.

Mr. Burke asked which sites would be relocated on this site and if they were identified to staff. Mr. Bernard stated they did not give exact locations. Mr. Bernard

followed up by stating they were combining 3 sites. A brief discussion followed regarding what is currently stored on those sites and the zoning of the other sites. Mr. Bernard stated without additional equipment it would be impossible to take the containers off the truck chassis. Mr. Faircloth stated they did have a fork lift large enough to move the containers but would only use it if OIS needed help shifting a load.

Mr. Burke asked how many containers on chassis would be stored on the site. Mr. Bernard stated 50-125. Mr. Bernard continued by stating have 30 trucks they use to move the containers and each truck would make 3-4 trips a day.

Mr. Burke asked if they thought they would be able to store 125 containers on the site. The applicant stated they believe they could and will provide a diagram. Mr. Burke restated the importance of having a site plan not only for zoning but for fire safety.

Mr. Burke asked how long they would lease the property. Mr. Faircloth stated the lease would be 5 years to start.

Mr. Patterson asked about the Villages resources if the applicant should violate the conditions of an approval. Mr. Burke outlined the violation enforcement.

Mr. Elmer reviewed the conversation staff had with the applicant regarding redevelopment economic development on the site. Mr. Elmer discussed the differences between the zoning on the CMC site and the Boyd Brothers site with regards to outdoor storage, and the implication of a split zoned property should CMC consider expanding their operation. Mr. Elmer reviewed the existing BPD requirements, and staff's concerns.

Mrs. Blankenship provided a summary of their application and how they felt the use would meet the requirements of the PBD.

Mrs. McDaniel asked if CMC will make a separate entrance for the employee parking proposed on the south side of the site. Mr. Faircloth stated they would not the CMC employees would share the existing entrance to the north. Mr. Faircloth continued by stating they discussed installing and sharing an electronic gate.

Mrs. McDaniel also asked how many workers would be in the existing building. The applicant's response was not able to be transcribed.

Mr. Burke reviewed the executive session process and stated any vote should only take place in the public forum. Mr. Burke also stated any conditions stated in that decision should be drafted by legal counsel for review and approval at the next Planning Commission Meeting.

232 The board entered Executive Session about 7:50 pm. 233 234 A motion was made by Mr. Patterson, and seconded by Mrs. McDaniel to retrun 235 from Executive Session 236 237 The board returned from executive session around 8:17 pm. 238 239 240 Mr. Patterson reviewed the proceeding of the evening and stated the board decided to approval the conditional use application with the following conditions; 241 1. The conditional use permit will be limited to the outside storage of transit 242 243 containers 2. There will be no stacking of tractors trailers or containers 244 3. No grounding of containers. 245 4. OIS will not be permitted to bring equipment needed to remove containers 246 from their chassis / all containers will be on chassis 247 5. The conditional use is limited to the 6 acres OIS will be leasing and does 248 not extend to the 2 acres closest to the CMC building. 249 6. The site shall be limited to 125 containers 250 7. Applicant should provide a site plan that addresses parking, circulation and 251 landscaping around the site. 252 253 8. The conditional use will not allow the storage of broken equipment, tractors, and containers on chassis. 254 Mr. Burke reminded the board this approval was conditional on the review and 255 approval of a site plan provided by the applicant. 256 The approval also grants authority the Planning Commission Chair and legal 257 counsel to provide a draft decision. 258 259 Motion by Mrs. McDaniel was seconded by Mrs. Moore to approve the conditional 260 use application with condition and subject to review and approval of a submitted 261 site plan. There was no further discussion. The motion passed by a 5-0 voice vote. 262 263 264

A motion was mad by Mr. Gorsuch and seconded by Mrs.McDaniel to enter into

230

231

Executive Session

INTERNAL BUSINESS:
A Motion was made by Mr. Patterson, seconded by Mrs. Moore, to adjourn the meeting.
There was no discussion. The motion passed unanimously
The meeting adjourned at 8:35 PM.
Minutes reviewed and approved by:
Chris Patterson
Chairman, Evendale Planning Commission
Jannelle Moore
Secretary, Evendale Planning Commission
Minutes as prepared by Mark Elma, Building, Planning, & Zoning Manager.